

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,385	11/06/2000	Michael Petersen	A33251	3514
21003 75	590 04/04/2003			
BAKER & BOTTS			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			MARX, IRENE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/622,385	PETERSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Irene Marx	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
· <u> </u>	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/622,385

Art Unit: 1651

The application should be reviewed for errors.

To conform with standard practice and for the sake of clarity, independent claims should be amended to start with --The-- and dependent claims to start with --A--.

The references cited in the Search Report filed 8/16/00 have been considered, but will not be listed on any patent resulting from this application because they were not provided on a separate list in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1). In order to have the references printed on such resulting patent, a separate listing, preferably on a PTO-1449 form, must be filed within the set period for reply to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The invention appears to employ a novel strain of *E. coli* to obtain a specific product. The written description of that strain and the method of isolating is insufficiently reproducible. Therefore, a deposit for patent purposes is required. The specification discloses at page 7 that suitable strains were deposited at DSM under Budapest Treaty conditions on 7 and 16 December 1997, respectively.

For compliance with the rule, it must be averred that deposited material has been accepted for deposit under the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the purpose of Patent Procedure (e.g. see 961 OG 21, 1977) and that all restrictions on the availability to the public of the material so deposited will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent. MPEP 2403.

Additionally, the deposit must be referred to in the body of the specification and be identified by deposit (accession) number, date of deposit, name and address of the depository and the complete taxonomic description.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is confusing in the recitation of "coded for an enzyme". Replacement with "coding" would be remedial.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,385

Art Unit: 1651

Claim 3 fails to find proper antecedent basis in claim 1 for "genes that are coded for an enzyme". It is unclear whether one gene or more genes are involved in coding for the required enzyme.

Claims 1-6 are incomplete in the absence of a recovery step for the product produced. While there is no specific rule or statutory requirement which specifically addresses the need for a recovery step in a process of preparing a composition, it is clear from the record and would be expected from conventional preparation processes that the product must be isolated or recovered. Thus, the claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the "complete" process since the recovery step is missing from the claims. The metes and bounds of the claimed process are therefore not clearly established or delineated.

In claim 1, it is recommended that the term "generic" be deleted. It appears redundant.

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not depend on another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kula et al. taken with Kita et al. and Tixidre et al..

The claims are directed to a process for the production of 4,4,4 trifluoro-3(R) - hydroxybutyric acid derivatives using a microbial strain having reductase activity.

Kula teach a process for the production of 3(R) hydroxybutyric acid derivatives using a microbial strain having reductase activity. See, e.g., Example 3, directed to the biotransformation of 3(R) hydroxybutyric acid derivatives a substrate closely related to the instant substrate. The reference differs from the claim invention in that it does not use an *E. coli*

Application/Control Number: 09/622,385

Art Unit: 1651

strain transformed with a gene coding for a suitable reductase. However, Kita teach the production of an *E. coli* strain transformed with a gene coding for a reductase which is suitable for the invention as claimed, since it is disclosed as bioconverting related 3-(R)-hydroxybutyric acid derivatives. (See, e.g., page 2304, col. 2, paragraph 2).

Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining 4,4,4 trifluoro-3(R) -hydroxybutyric acid derivatives using the microbial strain having reductase activity as taught by Kula and Kita.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have had a compelling motivation at the time the claimed invention was made to produce the trifluoro derivatives of 3(R) -hydroxybutyric acid in view of the teachings of Tixidre *et al.* regarding the utility of such compounds as intermediaries in the production of befloxatone, a compound having important pharmaceutical activity as a reversible and selective monoamine oxidase-A inhibitor.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the process of Kula *et al.* by using an suitably transformed *Escherichia or E. coli* in the process as suggested by the teachings of Kita *et al.* and Tixidre *et al.* for the expected benefit of obtaining useful intermediate compounds for the production of the pharmaceutically important compound befloxatone.

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was clearly *prima facie* obvious, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No claim is allowed.

Claims 4-6 would be allowable upon resolution of all issues under 35 USC 112. Claims 4-6 are allowable over the art of record, because the process steps used in the prior art differ from those claimed herein. There would have been no motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the processes of the prior art relating to biotransformation of trifluoro derivatives of 3(R) -hydroxybutyric acid in the manner claimed, using the specific transformed strains *E. coli* DSM 11902 or DSM 12566.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (703) 308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is before final (703) 872-9306 and after final, (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 308-0198 or the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Irene Marx Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651